Lake Superior State University

Benjamin Toll

LSSU Course Survey Evaluation Results

Dear Professor Toll,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results of the survey: Pol Sci Research/Statistics.

Questionnaire: Teaching18.

The overall summary is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

- Instructional Design
- Instructional Delivery
- Instructional Assessment
- Miscellaneous

The overall summary is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above.
In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

LSSU Class Climate Administrator
surveys@lssu.edu

Benjamin Toll

Pol Sci Research/Statistics (20580.201820-POLI-211-001-Pol Sci Research/Statistics)
No. of responses = 11

Overall indicators

Global Index

av.=4.6
dev.=0.6

1. Instructional Design

av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

2. Instructional Delivery

av.=4.8
dev.=0.5

3. Instructional Assessment

av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

4. Miscellaneous

av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Instructional Design

1.1) Regular class attendance was necessary for understanding course material.



n=11
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

1.2) The course was well organized, and objectives were realistic and appropriate.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

1.3) The lectures and activities were effective in helping me learn.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=1

1.4) There was ample opportunity to ask questions during class.



n=11
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2. Instructional Delivery

2.1) The instructor was enthusiastic about the course material.



n=11
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2.2) The instructor clarified complex concepts.



n=11
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2.3) I was encouraged to express my own opinions.



n=11
av.=4.8
dev.=0.6

2.4) The instructor used student questions to discover points of confusion.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

3. Instructional Assessment

3.1) The instructor offered specific suggestions for improving my weaknesses.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

3.2) The exams concentrated on important aspects of the course.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

3.3) The instructor adequately explained the grading system.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

3.4) The instructor was readily available for consultation with students.



n=11
av.=5
dev.=0

4. Miscellaneous

4.1) This course has increased my capacity for analytic and critical thinking.



n=11
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

4.2) I learned a lot from this course.



n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

4.3) I participated actively in class discussion.



n=11
av.=4.4
dev.=0.8

Profile

Subunit: 201820 Spring 18 Course Surveys
Name of the instructor: Benjamin Toll
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Pol Sci Research/Statistics

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Instructional Design

1.1)	Regular class attendance was necessary for understanding course material.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.6	md=5.0 dev.=0.5
1.2)	The course was well organized, and objectives were realistic and appropriate.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=0.7
1.3)	The lectures and activities were effective in helping me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=1.0
1.4)	There was ample opportunity to ask questions during class.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.8	md=5.0 dev.=0.4

2. Instructional Delivery

2.1)	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course material.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.8	md=5.0 dev.=0.4
2.2)	The instructor clarified complex concepts.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.8	md=5.0 dev.=0.4
2.3)	I was encouraged to express my own opinions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.8	md=5.0 dev.=0.6
2.4)	The instructor used student questions to discover points of confusion.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=0.7

3. Instructional Assessment

3.1)	The instructor offered specific suggestions for improving my weaknesses.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=0.7
3.2)	The exams concentrated on important aspects of the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=0.7
3.3)	The instructor adequately explained the grading system.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=0.7
3.4)	The instructor was readily available for consultation with students.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=5.0	md=5.0 dev.=0.0

4. Miscellaneous

4.1)	This course has increased my capacity for analytic and critical thinking.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.6	md=5.0 dev.=0.7
4.2)	I learned a lot from this course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5	md=5.0 dev.=0.8
4.3)	I participated actively in class discussion.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.4	md=5.0 dev.=0.8

Comments Report

5. Comments:

5.1)

- I looked forward to going to class.
- I really liked the professor for this course, but many of the lectures were dreadfully boring
- I wasn't a big fan of this class, because it didn't capture my attention as much as I thought it would. The only thing that I gained was being able to come up with a senior research project a semester in advance. I felt like I struggled in this class, but there were other contributing factors leading to that and also because I didn't like it.
  Also, the professor seemed like a very tough grader, and I felt like no matter how much I tried to do better I still didn't.

  Nice professor, funny, enthusiastic.